UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,297	09/22/2006	Todd M. Wirges	03-0024US1 (8470-0045NPB)	6763
29293 7590 11/06/2007 EDELIDENDED C. NOV. CENED AL. DARTNED SLUD			EXAMINER	
FREUDENBERG-NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP				
LEGAL DEPARTMENT			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
47690 EAST A	NCHOR COURT			
	TH, MI 48170-2455		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			,	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cyr@fngp.com fngp@hdp.com mlp@fngp.com

~	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Community	10/567,297	WIRGES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/567,297

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the snubber ring 32 and heat shield 34 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Application/Control Number: 10/567,297

Art Unit: 3683

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4,9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takashima et al. '753.

Regarding claims 1 Takashima et al. discloses in figure 2 a vibration isolator for mounting between a pair of support members (inherent—one of which is shown at 9), a core element 2 or 21, a housing assembly 1 (11,12), an elastomeric spring 3, a travel restrictor, as broadly claimed, at 8, an elastomeric bumper 15 adapted to engage support member 9. Note heat shield element 17.

Regarding claims 2-4, as can be clearly seen from the drawings, these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 9 see the crimp at 8a.

Regarding claims 10-11, as broadly claimed these requirements are met.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,297 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashima et al. in view of Kato '168.

Regarding claim 5 although Takashima et al. lacks a showing of the nozzle plate assembly the reference to Kato clearly teaches a known plate assembly that could be substituted for the arrangement shown at 6,7 for the expected benefit of changing the damping requirements to meet specific vibration-isolation design criteria.

Regarding claims 6, these requirements are met.

8. Claims 7,8,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashima et al. in view of Yokoi et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,297

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claim 7 it is notoriously well known in the art to nickel, or chrome, plate metal elements to help limit the effects of corrosion (the examiner has done this with his 1978 Merc bumpers...).

Yokoi et al. Teaches a different reason and uses a zinc coating, as shown in figure 2 and as described on lines 43-53 of col. 1.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to have provided the restrictor plate of Takashima et al. with such a claimed metal plating for one of the reasons above.

9. Claims 15,16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashima et al. in view of Yokoi as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Kato.

Regarding claims 15,16 see the explanation above regarding claims 5,6.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please review the prior art cited.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Christopher P. Schwartz **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3683

Cps 10/30/07